G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1928.

(Mwz)

File No. 784

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

Part B for August 21

SUBJECT.

Lease of Lucum lands endowed to
the Kumaravana Swami temple at Palaya
Veer Seka Nacka

D.C. Hatton Dr
850 Dr 16. 8

Previous papers nil

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

1918.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(muznai)

NOTES

File No. 784-78

FILE HEADING.

Disposal by sale completion of the ... Tirumala Land ... Srimushnam temple at Salem ...

From

The Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja.

No. 5180-401 Dated 16.6.19 Received

Diary No. 2254] Enclos. 1 Spare Copies - [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal a petition from Subraya and others praying that the temple lands granted to them under the sanad dated 1613 were originally their private land, that the register is kept by them and the up-keep of the entire hereditaire is to be met by ...

NOTES AND ORDERS.

for nearly 105 years past ... the ...

The Dy. Commissioner ... of the lands ... disposal ... Rs. 8 ...

is pressed hard for the Government ...

the expenses for the sale ...

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 784 of 1916

Serial No.

1. The petitioner [illegible] A copy of the order appealed against [illegible] produced. May the petitioner be [illegible] upon to produce it? Without it, it is not possible to know whether the appeal is in time.

Submits for order. A copy of the order
AG 29.6.16 appealed against may be asked to be produced.

SD
28-6-16 — Yes. I think the order is now pending.

Sec
2.7

IV
3/7

4

Sd/- Subrao
AG 2/7/16 SD 3/7/16 Order
Sec
4

2 2. The Petnr. No. 120 D. 10.7.16.

Reject his petn. as it is not accompanied by the order appealed against.

No 3. From Venkatachalapati and Subbaraya, Petitioners &c. &c.

Produces a copy of the order appealed against and prays for a stay.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 784 of 191 8

Serial No.

Dy on the 20)

B

C

incl T.D. Ef 22 8. 1879)

D

From the documents produced, it is seen that the Sanad of 1813 grants the lands in question for Poditana Deeparadhana Puja and Utsavams in the temple and the Inam title deed confirms them as Devadaya for the benefit of the institution.

In his order dy 20-9-1918, the Dy. Commr while sanctioning a scheme for the better management of this minor institution, has directed the auction sale of the pulla for a period not exceeding 5 years as per standing orders.

As the appeal is barred by time, (Dy. Commrs. order is dated 20-9-1918, and the appeal petition is dated 9-6-1919), may the petr be asked to assign reasons for delay in filing the appeal?

The petition is submitted for orders addressed to the member of Council, and has been referred for him with a note

Mus. 6.2.1920

Mus. Govt. 7-2-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 7824 of 191 8

Serial No

D

11

The appeal filed on 9-6-1919 against an order dated 20-9-1918 is clearly barred by limitation. A copy of the order appealed against was applied for on 3-10-19, after the appeal memorandum was returned for non-production thereof.

12 The appellant has assigned no reason for the delay and it does not seem necessary that we should call upon him to assign reasons for the non-presentation of the appeal within the time allowed by law. If he had any reasons he should have stated them in his memorandum of appeal.

Head Member 12/2/20

13 The appellant may be given an Endorsement that the appeal was barred by time, no reasons having been given for the delay & above & marks on the presentation of copies the Endt was.

12/2

12/2/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

neral and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 784 of 191 8

Serial No.

1 D.R. and Subdy.

M 13.2.20 BL 13/2/20

Please issue as requested

Allen 14.2.20

2 17

Submit with draft "and" as requested for

M 16.2.20 BL 16/2/20

Issue Allen 16/2

4. To, The Petnr Nr. 2568 dy. 25.2.20.

Petnrs petition as their is not in time & no proper reason for the delay have been given by

5 Srnrs. Venkatakrishniah and Subrayan

Petition dy 25.2.20 (but recd in the Branch Secty on 6.3.20).

State that owing to delay in the local offices to get them copies of orders, their appeal could not be filed in time, and that they being live in a village and are ignorant of rules, and pray for the admission of their appeal

Submitted M 8/3/20

May the appeal be admitted & the Report with records called for?

BL 8/3/20 Yes For Orders (Illegible) Allen 8/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

File No. 784 of 1918

Bombay Series

9/3/20

9.3

A copy of S.L.R Bombay Series 6 is submitted

10.3.20

11/3/20

11.3.20

Volume may be returned. Please get the Volume of the same Series — 1905. The book ought to be in the Secretariat Library or in the Jud Branch Library

11/3/20

11.3.20

The vol of 1905 of the same series asked from the Library of the Jud. Branch is sub.

13/3/20

13-3-20

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miscg File No. 184 of 1918

Serial No. 125

D

This is an appeal presented on 9-6-1919 against an order passed on 29-9-1918 by the D. Commr. Hassan and is prima facie barred by limitation. A copy of the order appealed against has applied for only on 13-10-1919 i.e. more than four months after the presentation of the appeal and this the appellants did, because they were called upon to produce the copy. Appellants have not explained the delay of nearly four months in the presentation of the appeal, though they were called upon to submit their explanation in the matter if any. Their explanation relates to what transpired after the presentation of the appeal on 9-6-1919 not to what prevented them from filing an appeal before 9-6-1919 within the time allowed by law. The appeal is therefore barred by limitation

Serious Member) 14/4/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1700 8

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. 784 of 191 8

Serial No.

65-71-281.

Before the appeal is rejected it is believed that a report should be called for from the High Court. If a perusal of these indicate a case for the appeal may be entertained. Otherwise rejected.

Revd 16-6-20

16/6/20

a.v. 15/

287

Submitted with the Record and Papers herein.

20.6.20

21/6/20

To Dy. Comm Hassan

No. 3775 dt 25.6.20.

Forwards the petition for expeditious records

To Mysore No. 3776 dt. 25.6.20.

Copies of the above

Letter No. 784 dt

Certified copy of the

The facts of this case are given in detail in the Trial Court's report no. 393-18 dt 30-8-19 from the Dy. Comm. letter dated

E

(P.W.D.)

Note:- Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 781 of 1918

Serial No.

Support of Kamakshi — Siva Temple.

(11) It is mentioned in the Samma; that the temple is a very ancient institution of princes Sethupathi (சேதுபதி)

Submitted. The lands are entered as M.I. 3.9 in Devadaya; but the appellant said to have been holding them for a very long time. He was given option of retaining them on payment of the reserve fund of Rs 74 which the appellant says is not able to pay as the lands lie fallow. The lands were advertised for sale, but there was no bidder for them.

It is not clear whether the resumption of the lands as proposed will answer the purpose. Perhaps a reduced reserve fund of say Rs 25 or 50 may be claimed & the lands retained in the appellants' possession.

May the case be reported for a hearing?

RZ
3/9/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. [illegible] of 1916.

Serial No.

[illegible] The appeal is barred by limitation, but it was observed by the Member that it appeared on the record indicated a case for the appellant (it might be entertained (vide 27 supra).

[illegible] The lands in question are clearly liable to be assessed to the temple as their assessment is Rs 102-8-0 but they are subject to a jodi of Rs 10-4-0. They consist of 15 acres 21 cents wet and 7 acres 17 cents dry.

[illegible] The Subdn officer and the Dy Comr think that the income of these lands is not less than Rs 500 per annum and that the landlord should pay Rs 400. The scale prescribed for this temple provides for an expenditure of Rs 176 and the trustees were informed that the lands would not be sold but would be left in their possession

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mewar File No. 7[illegible] of 19[illegible].

Serial No.

they would, after meeting the Expenditure, pay Rs 74 per annum towards the Reserve Fund. In other words, they were told that out of Rs 400 they would be required to ~~pay~~ pay Rs 250 ~~to~~ but they said they would find nothing more than Rs 15 on account of the Reserve — and the Political Superintendent thereupon ordered the sale of the land. And it is against this order that the appeal has been preferred.

Appellants urge that the lands originally belonged to their family and that members thereof have been in possession for several decades last past. These circumstances, even if true, do not seem to be of any Consequence seeing that the lands were registered as Devadaya at the Khalsa Settlement.

Second Member).

Let the applicants have the satisfaction of having been heard

4041-133.
14 2 21.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. of 1918.

Serial No.

before case finally disposed of. The law is not in their favour but Equity perhaps is!

Peel
14.2.21.

To be posted for hearing
AHL 14-2-21

Subject. with Opp. Petitioners

AH 7.6.21 Peel 7.6.21

We posted for 30-7-1921

Case came on for hearing this day. Petitioners present & heard. They are agreeable to pay a sum of Rs60/- p.a. if they are allowed to continue in possession of the lands. In view of the circumstance that the lands have been in the enjoyment of the petitioners family for many years past they may be allowed to continue in possession subject to the payment above mentioned. The amount will be subject to revision at the end of every period of five years.

Peel
30.7.21.

Opp. Subject
AH 1.8.1921. Jan Advt 22/2/8/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

543 17

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inams File No. 784 of 19 18

Serial No.	
	Fair Dft. Submd.
	M 3.8.21. RJ 4.8.21
	Fwd (Illegible) 11/5/8/21
912-1	Soap approved
	Rect S.S. 4 - 11/11/8/21
10	To by Commr Hassan'dt No. 850 of 16 8.21 Direct in Continuance of the persons in possession of the inam lands on certain Conditions

NOTE—Contn sheet

(5) ...ప్రతి కార్యక్రమంలో ఎంత మంది ఉపాధ్యాయులు పాల్గొనాలో వారి పేర్లు ముందుగానే నిర్ణయించి వారికి తెలియజేయాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు తప్పనిసరిగా ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఎవరైనా ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనకపోతే వారి పేర్లు ఆ రోజు హాజరు పట్టికలో ఎర్ర సిరాతో వ్రాయాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు జీతంలో ఒక రోజు జీతం కోత విధించాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి.

(6) ...ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనకపోతే ఆ రోజు జీతంలో ఒక రోజు జీతం కోత విధించాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి.

(7) ...ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనకపోతే ఆ రోజు జీతంలో ఒక రోజు జీతం కోత విధించాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి.

(8) ...ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనకపోతే ఆ రోజు జీతంలో ఒక రోజు జీతం కోత విధించాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి.

(9) ...ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనకపోతే ఆ రోజు జీతంలో ఒక రోజు జీతం కోత విధించాలి. ఆ ఉపాధ్యాయులు ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి. ఆ రోజు సెలవు పెట్టినట్లయితే ఆ సెలవు రోజు ఆ కార్యక్రమంలో పాల్గొనాలి.

(10) ...

కన్నడినవరలు

కవాండం అది

G. R. S. VII.—G/37]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore.....10-7.....191 9

Endorsement

No.....128 Enc. two

To Jagirdar Venkata Krishnaiah Chetty Palya, Hassan Taluk.

The undersigned is directed to inform petitioner that his petition ~~of received~~ 9.6.19 will not be considered by the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore because he has not complied with No.....4..... of the rules prescribed of which a summary is given below.

[The petition (and....two... enclosures) are herewith returned.]

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

Petitions and appeals to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, General and Revenue Department, must be —

(1) addressed to the Secretary to the Government of H. H the Maharaja, ... Department,

(2) submitted through the proper course or channel,

(3) duly stamped in accordance with the provisions laid down in the Mysore Court Fees Regulation,

✓ (4) accompanied by the order complained against or an authenticated copy thereof, and it should be that of the authority against which the appeal lies only to Government;

(5) submitted within the time, if any, prescribed by Law.

(6) signed by the petitioner,

(7) couched in loyal, temperate and respectful language,

(8) confined to a single subject;

(9) brief and legible.

Petitions and appeals which do not satisfy any one or more of these rules will not be considered by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja.

W D A836-GPB—1,000-23 1 18

1498

19 20

Meer

3

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಪಿ.ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯೂ.ಡಿ. ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: NDuP 404 19 ದಿನಾಂಕ 5-12-2019.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಹಿರೇಬಗನಾಳ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಿ.ಸಿ. ರಸ್ತೆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಕಳೆದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಇನ್ನೂ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ಮೌಖಿಕವಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

తేదీ 4-2-1919 నాటికి మిగిలిన బాకీ

రూపాయలు.—

ఇట్లు
భవదీయుడు.

తేదీ 4-2-1919 కోడికాలపెద్దిపల్లి

దేవప్రసన్నపేరుపేరున నాకల్యాణానంతరం
కాలుకూసేవరకు వరుస చదివినచో బాగుండు.
నీవరకొకొంతసు ఖర్చుపడగలదు

బుగ్గనపల్లె నాగేశ్వరులు వెంబడికొనిపోవుటకుగాను
బళ్ళారినుండి బయలుదేరినారు. అందువలన ఇక్కడకు

రాలేకపోయినారు. ఇక ఉత్తరములు వ్రాయుటకు

వీలుపడదు. మీరు

కాశీ 4-2-1920

శేషాచలశర్మ

3

4

5

N. Dis. P. 404-19
5.12.19

ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್
ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಿಂದ —

[illegible]

[illegible] 20.11.19 [illegible]

[illegible]

Venkataramana
for Deputy Commissioner
Hassan

[illegible]
4.12.19

General No.
51794

1. Taluk. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು. 2. Hobli. ಹೋಬಳಿ. 3. Village. ಗ್ರಾಮ.	Serial Number ಜಂಜರ್ ನಂಬರ್.	Name of Inamdar. ಇನಾಂದಾರ್‌ರ ಹೆಸರು.	Description of Inam. ಇನಾಮಿನ ತರಹ.	Conditions of Grant. ಗ್ರಾಂಟಿನ ಶರತ್ತು.	Description of Land. ಜಮೀನಿನ ತರಹ.	Old Field Number. ಸರಾಸರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ನಂಬರ್
1	2	3			6	7
Kasaba Pallya	220	The Managers for the time being of the Pagoda of Ranganatha Swamy at Pallya	Devadaya	For the maintenance of the Temple &c.	Wet 50	82 251
					Garden 249	24
					Dry 500	15 63
					Land	221

INAM SETTLEMENT OFFICE,
Dated 18th July 1880.

Mysore Government Press, Bang

Total. ಒಟ್ಟು.		Quit Rent to Government. ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕಿಸ್ತಿವಂದಿ.			Enfranchised or not. ಅಗ್ರಹಾರವಾಗಿ ಆದದ್ದು ಆಗದ್ದು	Remarks. ಷರಾ.
Old Extent. ಸುಮಾರು ಬೀಜದ್ದು.	Old Assessment. ಸುಮಾರು ಆದಾಯ.	Former Jodi. ಸುಮಾರು ಜೋಡಿ.	Quit-Rent now imposed. ಈಗ ಪುನಃ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು. ಇಷ್ಟು ಕಿಸ್ತಿವಂದಿ.	Total. ಒಟ್ಟು.		
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1-3-12 34-14 / Five Khandy three coodoos and twelve Padies —	2-2-4			4-4-a		Four annas

Supt. of Inam Settlements.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವ್ವೆರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ

ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು.

ಇ-ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನಂ. 2.5768 Misc. Tenure ತಾರೀಖು 2.5.2.20

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ನೆಯ ನಿಯಮಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ ತಾರೀಕಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಗಲಾರದೆಂದು ಈ ಮೊಲಕ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಿ ಇದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು 9 ಮೇಲಪ್ಪಿಗಳ ಸಮೇತ ಇದರೊಡನೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿ ಇದೆ.

ಹುಕುಂ ಬಮೋಜೇಬ್,

ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಬರೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳೂ ಮತ್ತು ಅಪ್ಪೀಲುಗಳೂ ಬೇಗೆ ಕೆಂದರೆ :—

(1) ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಇಲಾಖಾ ಸೆಕ್ರಿಟೆರಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮೇಲುವಿಳಾಸ ಬರೆದಿರಬೇಕು.

(2) ಸರಿಯಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(3) ಮೈಸೂರು ಕೋರ್ಟು ಫಿ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿರಬೇಕು.

(4) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗಲೀ ಅದರ ಸೈ೯ ಮೊಹರಾದ ನಕಲೇ ಆಗಲೀ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ಜತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕು, ಮತ್ತು ಅದು ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಅಪೀಲು ಇರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಅಂಥಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗಿರಬೇಕು.

(5) ಕಾನೂನಿನಿಂದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ವಿಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ವಾಯಿದೆಯೊಳಗೆ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(6) ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಸಹಿ ಇರಬೇಕು.

(7) ರಾಜಭಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿ ಗಂಭೀರವಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಗೌರವ ವ್ಯಕ್ತವಾದ ಸರಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

(8) ಒಂದೇ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(9) ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿಯೂ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಯೂ ಬರೆದು ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಒಂದು ನಿಯಮಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನೂ, ಅಪ್ಪೀಲುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

WDA886—GPB—1,000—23-1-18

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 14th June 1919

72863.

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~.....~~ ~~Department~~, Bangalore, for

disposal

47
8/6

No. 900 of 18-19.

By Order,

Jpajacar

Private Secretary
to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GBPM—1,000—18-2-1918

మైసూరు కొన్న మోంట్ జనరల్ చుప్పచిత
న్నుకే లి టీ కాడిబారగా మడాపన్ని
ఛాపంచాలి :—

ముఖ్యతీర్పు

(1) పన్నిధానసింద అప్పనాచారర 10-7-1919నే సుళ్ళం ఇంత్ర
నళనే విద్యాగాదల్లి అప్పనియంగారు వంతే ఆస్తిగే కపి ప
కాంకిబారగార 20-9-1918నే సుళ్ళం 97-18నే నం
బరు అజ్ఞేరు ఆరుతరు పాపియన్న వతరు ఇర్వత్త శేల్తిరు
త్తిలచే :—

(2) ఈచేవద్యానరప్పు చే మత్తు ఇనాంజ మిలను చిట్టయ
దల్లి ఇనాంజ మిలనుగళు పుట్టిత్తిల్లి చట్టిరియుంగా
గిరు చరరల్లి సుయంధ అందాజ రవిలే రియుంగా చిద్ద
ఇరుత్తే. ఇ మిలనుగళల్లుం శేలరలు కిలనక్షిరియల్లరు
రవాదియంతరల్లి కాగి 14-10-1919 రల్లి నచ్చికాంల్లుంద
తే ఫ్రిల్లు అనులీకాత్రరపు కరాంజుమూ తిశిర్ధరల్లి రియుగ
కచాంలీమూ రవల్లి ఇరువదే కారణగా నిధర్శనే ఇనాం
జ మిమనుగళు పుట్టిన ప్రీనాధ నీలాంబిత్రు రిలేశకారిరు
చరిల్లు కే ఫిర్యాదు పుట్టిత్తి ఆగలదు రింద ప్రాడియ మీలి
తీర్పిన్నే లలాధర్చరిరంగుంగా ఉరాం బా బాద్ధ గిరుత్తే—

(3) శేలవరు మత్తు ఇనాంజ మిమనుగళ రధర్శ బూడకాంభ్రస్త్రా
మక్కు కింది కాల్లుంలాంగా దు ఈగ్గే 60-70 వరుష్ట
గళ్ళ కింది యిల్లకా ల్లుంలదు కిలాసి లలాకాయుధర్శ
రలకిలవ శ్రీ శేషంగారు ఇదు లా మాయుధర్శ లు
గా గిరువనాగుగళు 4 వర్ష్ట లిరుగళు. మత్తు ఇశేరకతీయి
ధవంరు జీవవిష్టాగి కంకాయిత్తాగిరువాంశేగళ
వర్ష్ట లిలనాశేక మూంతిరిష్టిండు కాతగుగ్రైయ
రుసిగళు 4. శేలవుగళంతిరల్లి కేరుగళు 4-5 వర్ష్ట

(4) ఈ కరువున్న కాలంలో మాన్ 74-75 రబీ పంటలకు నీటిని
వచ్చేలా ఏం చేయాలో అందరు తీర్మానించి అధికారులకు
రాతపూర్వకంగా తెలియజేయాలని కోరడమైనది —

(5) మన్యసీమ ప్రాంతంలో విస్తారంగా వేసిన పొగాకు
పంటను కొనుగోలు చేయాలని కోరడమైనది. అలాగే ప్రస్తుత
ఖరీఫ్ లో పండించిన ధాన్యాన్ని ప్రభుత్వం ద్వారా
కొనుగోలు చేయడానికి ఇప్పటి నుంచే ఏర్పాట్లు చేయాలని
కోరడమైనది. అలాగే ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఈ సమయంలో
విద్యుత్ సరఫరాలో అంతరాయం కలుగుతున్నందున
ఈ సమస్యను పరిష్కరించాలని కోరడమైనది —

(6) సాగర్ ఎడమ కాలువ ద్వారా ఏలేశ్వరం కాలువ
ద్వారా సాగయ్యే 250 ఎకరాలకు- ఆ ప్రాంతాలకు
నీటిని ఇవ్వడానికి ఇరవచర్ల పంటలు ఎండిపోకుండా
74-75 సంవత్సరానికి ఇప్పటి నుంచే ఇరవచర్ల పంటలు
ఎండిపోకుండా చర్యలు తీసుకోవాలని కోరడమైనది —

(7) పోలవరం ప్రాంతంలో పరిమిత సంఖ్యలో దళారులు
మాత్రం పొగాకు కొనుగోలు చేస్తున్నారని, ఇదివరకు
వచ్చిన ధరలు రావడం లేదని, ఇప్పటి నుంచే
వ్యవసాయ అధికారులు పొగాకు కొనుగోలు
కేంద్రాలు ఏర్పాటు చేసి, ఎక్కువ ధరలు వచ్చేలా
చూడాలని కోరడమైనది —

(8) తీవ్ర నష్టాలు అనుభవించిన మన్యం రైతులకు
ఈ సంవత్సరం ఎకరాకు 100 రూపాయలు చొప్పున
నష్టపరిహారం చెల్లించాలని కోరడమైనది. అలాగే
కొండ పోడు వ్యవసాయం చేసుకుంటున్న
రైతులకు పట్టాలు ఇప్పించాలని

తా మ్మ పరిపంపుంచిన డబ్బు తక్కా ఇరువ త్సరిగ
ఇవ్వాంజ పిన్నా వళ్ళన్నవమ్మ వళ్ళికి తక్కి/ది
బిల్ల నమ్మ వాల్ది నా మనుష్య వరల్లి కాంతి ఇవు
రంగి మాంపం ఇల్లి పేం రబిల్లాంషి ప్రాంతద్లిల్లి
నాం చుగళు వన్ని ధాన్యద్లి బరగుత్తిద్దం ది 9-6-19
నేత్రుత్వం అవలంబిసి- వన్ని దనక్కాపా
ఉదర పూలిగళు సిక్కి వల్లి ది 128 జిల్లెగళు
ఇండ్యాలు కుపం. మత్తు ఆస్పత్రిగళు ఇవుగళ
కొంట్టరువల్లి మాత్రవల్లి ఆసీరు కాయిలిగళు
బవత్తు చూచిసల్పట్టు కిరుత్తిదే

ది 19-11-1919 రల్లి —

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191

Date of issue..... 2.7.40

Signed by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 3775 Encs four petns with RS encd.

To

The Dy. Commr,

Hassan Dist.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favr of report with records, petitions regd. Nos. 2256, 1042, 1498 and 1700 from Venkatakrishnaiah Subraya and ~~another~~ of Palya, Alur Sub-Taluk, appealing against your order sanctioning the auction-sale of the ~~property~~ right of cultivation of the mian land enclosed to Sri Ramesh and

over.

temple at the place.

I have etc.

21/4/20

(W.)

Secretary,

Miss. Dept.

21/4/20

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—80,000—19-3 19

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

Section

File No

Serial No.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191

No. 3776

Date of issue 29. 6. 20

Signed by J. F. Jayanarama Assistant Secretary

To

[illegible]

[illegible] 1042, [illegible] 1698, [illegible] 1700 [illegible]

[illegible]

21/4/21

Secretary, Rev. Dept.

List of enclosures.

opied by

Examined by

From

B. VENKOBA RAO, Esq., B.A.;

Deputy Commissioner,
HASSAN DISTRICT

To

T.E.Jayarama Aiyar,Esq,B.A.,B.L.
Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mys
Muzrai Department, Bangalor

Dated the 11th August 1920.

Despatched 11-8-20

Received

General No. 1016-19
No. /c

Enclosure No. One file.

SUBJECT

Reporting with the connected records on the petition of Venkatakrishniah of Palya appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District directing the lease of the Inam lands endowed to th Sree Rames Swami temple at Palya in the Alur Sub Taluk.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Dated, Hassan, the ... .19

DIARY NO. 356
20 21
Accer
784-6

From

B. VENKOBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
HASSAN DISTRICT.

To

P.S.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Luzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to your letter No. 3775/ Luz. 784-18-6 dated the 29th April 1920 forwarding for report with the connected records the petition of Subbaraya and Venkatakrishnaiah of Palya village, Alur Sub Taluk, appealing -- against this office order directing the auction sale of the right of cultivating the Inam lands endowed to the - Sree Rameswara Devaru temple at Palya in the Alur Sub -- Taluk, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The Sree Rameswara Devaru temple in question, has been endowed with vast Inam lands measuring 22 acres -- 38 Guntas in extent, assessed at Rs. 102-8-0 with only a Jodi of Rs. 0-4-0 payable to Government. These lands have been entered as Devadaya (Vide Title Deed enclosed in the records).

3. During the reorganization of Muzrai Institutions - this temple was classed as a Minor Muzrai Institution -- and the lands endowed to it were ordered to be leased -- out for temporary cultivation. The petitioners who are the Archaka of the temple thereupon made a representation to this office to the effect that as they have been in long possession and enjoyment of the lands they may be allowed to cultivate them as hitherto. Orders were - passed in this office No. N.Dis. P. 666-18 dated the --

17th September 1919 directing that if the petitioners agreed to pay the Reserve amount of Rs. 74-0-0 every year fixed in the scale to the temple, they may be allowed to retain possession of the lands. But as it was reported that they were not agreeable to the terms offered to them the lands were ordered to be dispoed of by public auction. The present appeal is against this order.

4. The petitioners in their statement dated the 9th June 1920 given before the Deputy Amildar agree to pay only Rs.15/- every year while there are others ready to pay Rs. 74-0-0 -- being the reserve amount fixed in the scale) every year to the temple if the lands are advertized for sale. But as the appellants have pleaded their inability to pay the said sum of Rs. 74-0-0 they do not merit the concessions extended to temple servants in para 3 of Government Order No. 2594-602/ Muz. 366-18-2 dated the 25th February 1919.

5. The file of vernacular reocrds ending with the Hassan Sub Division Officer's yadi No. R.O.C. 393-18 dated the 28th June 1920 together with the appeal petition and its enclosures received with your letter under advertence is forwarded herewith.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

K.S.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 7 .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 23.2.20

Signed by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 711

To

17/8/20

17/8/20

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W L-C-GPB—60,000—15 11 16

Register Sheet no 17:

Taluk Hassan

Hobli - Pasya

Village Pasya

Number of case if entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			As per Late Maharajaana - dunga taluk Huzur Jar Inam account 1855	
1	Devadaya		Krishnarc	
		Wet nos	K. K. P	
		14, 82, 251	1 - 1 - 14	
		Garden no 249	0 - 1 - 14	
		Land Dry	1 - 3 - 12	
		15-		
		63		
		221.		

Sammat of Malya in the Taluk of Hassan

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent. *i e*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
viz 0 . 0 P . - 14 - 7	—	For the Sup- -port of — Rameswara Swami temple of the village which as per Amildar's certificate is out of order and daily Service rendered	Jodi D. 7 U 0 - 0 - 8 R. A. P 0 - 2 - 4 collected Since 1921/22

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving, heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders. possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	18
Pollia Rameswara Sw (Manager P-St			

GPB—50 books of 2 sheets—25-7-09

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam.' Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

Whole grant valid

H J I. account of 1855 adopted as an exceptional case. wet and garden lands being enjoyed by the deity in column 13.

This Inam was granted in the year Srimukha 1813-14. It is brought down in the Jaji inam account of 1825-26 - but the heir of 12 Pagodas alone is mentioned without the extent of the Inam. It would appear that a garden land of 15 Fanams Kandayam was included in the grant and 5 Fanams out of it was resumed One plot bearing 4½ Fanams Kandayam was transferred to another man and others of 10½ were left in the possession of the Pujari of the temple. Hence the payment of 2-4 Jodi - Very likely in 1831-32 the garden land also was clam as wet and was separately distinguished in — 1855 account.

One Pollia Venkatarammiah complains that a portion of the garden land was conveyed to his grand father by sale for 6 Pagodas by one Subbabhatta in August 1830 and that this Subba Bhatta was a donee from two persons Venkat Krishniah and — Giriappaiah - He produces two Kacha Cadjan leaf - documents of 1830 regarding the two transactions. On enquiry, it appears that the Pooja of the deity is performed by the descendants of the said two — donors from whom a portion of the garden [illegible]

rented stipulating the payment of the profits to the Peyaries - Petr. clearly admits. Fakir used been paying rent and he would have no objection to do so if the garden which es. lying waste was cultivated. Thus it would appear that the complainants is a mere cultivator and not owner as shown by the documents.

5. The temple is said to be leaky owing to the plastering over the roof having been damaged. The Pujari and the Shanbogue represent that the necessary repairs could be carried out of the present monsoon Subsidies. 4 months' grace is solicited, and this may be granted.

6 Subject to the Amils Certificate of the ~~order~~ repairs having been carried out the area as per Col. 4 may be confirmed free of tax, but subject to the payment of the present Jodi or annas 4 according to Scale under Rule U I.T.D.

order passed in case Proc. No 231
4.6.77 Sd/ 11 7 77.

(Sd) T. Srinivasa Iyengar
Assistant.

31.5.77

To Docket No 58 dated 5.6.77
Time allowed vide Sufoti No 32 dt 15-6-77
Repairs Carried out vide Hasan Amils Ithlah No 1948
dt 31.12.1877

Review by the Special Assistant
and Confirmation by the
Inam Commissioner.

20

Confirmed on the 3rd Jodi, 4 annas.

(Sd) R. A. Cole
Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

"Copy"
[illegible]
Superintendent of
[illegible]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Inam Appeal No. 8 of 1920-21

Appellants.

Venkat Krishniah + Subbaraya Vahivatdars of Sri Rameswara Devaru temple at Palya, Alur Sub Ta

Subject.

Appeal against the Deputy Commr's order directing the sale of the rig of cultivating the inam lands and to Sri Rameswara Devaru temp at Palya, Alur Sub Taluk.

Decision

The temple of Sri Rameswara Devaru at Palya is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Deva -dasya inam lands, consisting of 15-21 acres Gunta of wet land and 7-17 acres Gunta of dry land assessed at Rs 102-5-

and subject to a Jodi of only four. The Dy Commr, Thinks that the income of these lands is not less than Rs 800/- per annum and that the land & lords share would be Rs 400/- The scale prescribed for this temple provides for an expenditure of Rs 176. The archaks were informed that the right of cultivating the lands would not be sold, but that the lands would be left in their possession, if they would, after meeting the expenditure, pay Rs 74/- per annum towards the reserve fund of the temple; but they stated in their Kyphiat Dt 9. 6. 1920 that they could give nothing more than Rs 15/- on account of the reserve fund. The Dy Commr thereupon ordered the sale of the right of cultivation, and against this order, the present appeal & Sur has been preferred by the archaks.

The Case was heard before Govt on 30th July 1921 the parties being present in person.

No. 66

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore 7th August 1920

Narasmah, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Revenue Survey
and Settlements in Mysore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq.,B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.711/Muz.784-18-8, dated the 23rd instant, I have the honor to forward herewith, as desired therein, an extract from the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of lands as Inam for the benefit of Sri Rameswara Swami Temple at Palya, Alur Sub Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

The petitioners are agreeable to pay a sum of Rs 60/- per annum if they are allowed to continue in possession of the lands.

In view of the circumstances that the lands have been in the enjoyment of the petitioner's family for many years past Government are pleased to permit them to continue in possession on the following conditions :-

1) that they meet all the temple expenditure according to the Sanctioned Scale for the time being in addition

2) that they pay Rs 60/- per annum towards the temple reserves,

3) and that the usual

WD 2230—GPE —1 00 000—33 7 20

would be liable to be
revised at ~~the~~ any time,
at the pleasure of Govt,
and that the amount
payable towards the
reserve fund will be
liable to revision at the
every period of
end of 5 years commencing
from 1927.

Munc. No 850 Encl one file

Muzaffarnagar 784-18-10 D. 16.8.21 Rec'd S.S.A

Copy to the Dr, (Commr,
Hazara Dn with the records
received with his letter
No 1016-19D. 11.8.1920.

Dft 4/8/21

AB Secretary to Govt
Murree Dept
4-8-21

Recd